




# HARDING TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT

## PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS UNIT

### 2020 INTERNAL AFFAIRS SUMMARY

NUMBER	FILED BY	COMPLAINT	DISPOSITION
2020-01	Citizen	Demeanor	Unfounded
2020-02	Citizen	Policy/Rule Violation	Sustained
2020-03	Citizen	Elected Official Misconduct	Unfounded
2020-04	Agency	Policy/Rule Violation	Not Sustained
2020-05	Citizen	Policy/Rule Violation	Exonerated
2020-06a	Agency	Policy/Rule Violation	Sustained
2020-06b	Agency	Policy/Rule Violation	Exonerated
2020-07	Agency	Policy/Rule Violation	Open Investigation
2020-08	Agency	Policy/Rule Violation	Open Investigation
2020-09	Citizen	Policy/Rule Violation	Open Investigation



- Sustained:** A preponderance of the evidence shows that an employee violated agency rules, regulations, protocols, standard operating procedures, directives, or training;

---

- Unfounded:** A preponderance of the evidence shows that the alleged misconduct did not occur;

---

- Exonerated:** A preponderance of the evidence shows that the alleged conduct did occur, but did not violate rules, regulations, standard operating procedures, directives, or training;

---

- Not Sustained:** Based upon the preponderance of evidence standard, there is insufficient evidence to decide whether the alleged misconduct occurred.

---

- Administratively Closed:** In some cases, the complaint or Investigation is closed prior to reaching a disposition. These should be counted as "Administratively Closed." Examples include situations when a complainant voluntarily requests that a complaint be withdrawn, the subject officer terminates his or her employment prior to disposition of the complaint or a policy failure is identified.

